# On Two Generalizations for k-additivity

Ryoji Fukuda<sup>1</sup>, Aoi Honda<sup>2</sup>, and Yoshiaki Okazaki<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Oita University, 700 Dan-noharu, Oita city, Oita, 870-1192, JAPAN rfukuda@oita-u.ac.jp

<sup>2</sup> Kyushu Institute of Technology, 680-4 Kawazu, Iizuka city, Fukuoka 820-8502, JAPAN

aoi@ces.kyutech.ac.jp

Fuzzy Logic Systems Institute, 680-41 Kawazu, Iizuka city, Fukuoka 820-0067, JAPAN okazaki@flsi.or.jp

**Abstract.** There are two generalizations for k-additive set functions: constructive k-additivity and formulaic k-additivity. We study some properties around these concepts and their relations. A constructively k-additive set function is always formulaic k-additive. For a distorted measure, these two concepts are equivalent. Under certain conditions of "bounded variation" and "continuity at the  $\emptyset$ ," we prove the constructive k-additivity for a formulaic k-additive set function.

**Keywords:** fuzzy measure· monotone measure· k-order additivity· Möbius transform· distorted measure

#### 1 Introduction

The concept of k-order additivity was originally introduced for a monotone measure on a finite set using the Möbius transform (see for example [1],[2], [3]). This is an important concept to reduce the complexity of non-additive measures. As an example for the identification of a non-additive measure, we need  $2^n - 1$  parameters to express a general set function defined on a set with cardinality n; however, only  $(n^2 + n)/2$  parameters are required for a two-additive measure. Assuming that one uses linear regression for the identification of a non-additive measure, we use a covariance matrix. For the covariance matrix for a 10 elements' set, the number of elements can be reduced to 3025 from 1048576.

There are two approaches to define the k-additivity for non-discrete set functions. One is a constructive approach and the other uses a formulaic relation among the terms of the Möbius transform. R. Mesiar gave the first generalization for a k-additive non-additive measure [4] through the constructive approach. Fukuda, Honda, and Okazaki [5] proved a monotone decreasing convergence theorem for the Pan Integral with respect to a monotone measure of this type. Such monotone measures can be described by several  $\sigma$ -additive signed measures defined on set spaces, the precise definition of which will be given in section 2, and this is suitable to estimate integral values. Honda, Fukuda, and Okazaki [6] gave

the definition of formulaic k-additivity. The Möbius transform was extended to non-discrete set functions for this definition, and the definition and some equivalent conditions were described using this Möbius transform. A distorted measure  $\mu$  is a set function , which can be represented by  $\mu(A) = f(m(A))$  using a probability measure  $m(\cdot)$  and a non decreasing function f. The formulaic k-additivity is essentially equivalent to the fact that the distortion function f is a k-order polynomial ([6].)

Briefly, constructive k-additivity is useful for estimations or calculations, and formulaic k-additivity is a natural extension of k-additivity for the finite element case. The present study attempts to show that these two definitions are essentially the same. This problem is naturally valid on a finite set or on a finite  $\sigma$ -algebra. We analyze the structures of our settings in the finite case and describe the relations between the two generalizations for k-additivity. There are some natural conditions for this extension. A  $\sigma$ -additive measure satisfies continuity from above and below, and this is a key property for the extension of measures. A non-additive set function is not always continuous from above or below, but we assume these continuities.

Under these settings, we show the following properties in this paper.

- (a) All constructive k-additive set functions are formulaic k-additive.
- (b) A distorted measure is formulaic k-additive if and only if it is constructively k-additive.
- (c) Consider a set function  $\mu$  defined on a countably generated  $\sigma$ -algebra. Then, if a formulaic k-additive set function  $\mu$  satisfies certain conditions of "bounded variation" and "fine continuity at  $\emptyset$ ,"  $\mu$  is constructively k-additive.

By showing the above problems, we will try to make sure the richness of the concepts of k-additivity.

#### 2 Definitions and notations

Throughout this paper,  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  denotes a measurable space, that is, X is a non-discrete set and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $(\mathcal{B} \subset 2^X)$ . We assume that any set function  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . We also assume that all one-point sets are measurable. Let n be a positive integer. We define an n-set space  $X^{(n)}$  as follows.

$$X^{(n)} = \{ \{x_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset X, \ x_j \neq x_k \text{ if } j \neq k \}.$$

 $X^{(n)}$  can be also represented by

$$X^{(n)} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n : x_j \neq x_k \text{ if } j \neq k\} / \sim,$$

where the equivalence relation  $\sim$  is defined by:

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \sim (y_1, \ldots, y_n).$$
 $\iff$  Two sets  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  and  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$  are identical.

Let  $\mathcal{B}^n$  denote a standard product  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X^n$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X^{(n)}$  determined by the restriction (each element is different) and the equivalence relation  $\sim$ . This is one of the easiest way to construct a  $\sigma$ -algebra on the set spaces. These may be too fine to satisfy the uniqueness of  $\sigma$ -additive measures. Then, the structures of the set spaces may not be optimal for a constructively k-additive measure. At this step, we select one possible setting.

For a measurable set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ , we define

$$A^{(n)} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^{(n)} : x_j \in A, \ j \le n\}.$$

Moreover, for  $U \in \mathcal{B}^{(j)}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{B}^{(k)}$ , we define

$$U(\times)V = \{(x_{\ell})_{\ell=1}^{j+k} \in X^{(j+k)} : (x_{\phi(1)}, \dots, x_{\phi(j)}) \in U, \ (x_{\phi(j+1)}, \dots, x_{\phi(j+k)}) \in V \text{ for some permutation } \phi \text{ of } (1, \dots, j+k) \}.$$

We remark that  $U(\times)V$  is an element of  $\mathcal{B}^{(j+k)}$  if  $U \in \mathcal{B}^{(j)}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{B}^{(k)}$ .

We mainly deal with these set operations for infinite (measurable) sets. For finite sets, if their cardinality is very small,  $A^{(n)}$  or  $U(\times)V$  can be empty.

Now we are prepared to define constructive k-additivity.

**Definition 1** (constructive k-additivity [5]). A set function  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is constructively k-additive  $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ , or  $\mu$  has constructive k-additivity, if there exists a  $\sigma$ -additive signed measure  $\mu_j$  on  $(X^{(j)}, \mathcal{B}^{(j)})$  for each j = 1, 2, ..., k such that:

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_j(A^{(j)})$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Consider the case where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a finite family and X essentially is a finite set. Then, the classical Möbius transform and the inverse formula are available in this situation. Our generalization for the Möbius transform is a natural extension of Möbius transform for finite sub  $\sigma$ -algebras.

**Definition 2 (generalized Möbius transform [6]).** Let  $\mu$  be a set function on  $\mathcal{B}$  and set  $\mathcal{D}_n = \{\{A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n\} : A_j \in \mathcal{B}, A_j \cap A_k = \emptyset, \quad j, k \leq n, j \neq k\}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is the family of all n disjoint measurable sets' combinations. A generalized Möbius transform  $\{\nu_n\}$  of  $\mu$ , which is a sequence of functions on  $\mathcal{D}_n$ , is defined as follows.

(a) 
$$\nu_1(A) = \mu(A), \forall A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

(b) 
$$\nu_n(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) = \mu(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n) - \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_j} \nu_j(A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_j}) \right\},$$

$$\forall \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\} \in \mathcal{D}_n.$$

We call  $\nu_i()$  the j-order adjusting function for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Using these concepts, another generalization for k-additivity is given as follows.

**Definition 3 (formulaic** k-additivity [6]). Let  $\mu$  be a set function on  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\{\nu_n\}$  be the generalized Möbius transform of  $\mu$ .  $\mu$  satisfies formulaic k-additivity (or  $\mu$  is a formulaic k-additive set function) if  $\nu_j(\mathbf{A}) = 0$  for any  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{D}_j$  and  $j \geq k+1$ .

Formulaic k-additivity satisfies the following equivalent conditions.

## Proposition 1. [6](Theorem 10).

Let  $\mu$  be a set function on  $\mathcal{B}$ , and let  $\{\nu_n\}$  be its generalized Möbius transform. Then, the following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\mu$  is formulaic k-additive.
- (b)  $\nu_n = 0$  for any n > k.
- (c) Assume that  $(A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}, B_1), (A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}, B_2) \in \mathcal{D}_k$ , and  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ .

$$\nu_k(A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}, B_1 \cup B_2) = \nu_k(A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}, B_1) + \nu_k(A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}, B_2).$$

*Remark.* Using condition (b), a formulaic k-additive set function is also formulaic k'-additive for any  $k' \geq k$ .

# 3 Formulaic k-additivity of a constructively k-additive set function

In this section, we prove the formulaic k-additivity of a constructively k-additive set function.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\mu_n$  be a  $\sigma$ - additive signed measure on  $(X^{(n)}, \mathcal{B}^{(n)})$ , and  $\mu$  be a set function on  $\mathcal{B}$  defined by

$$\mu(A) = \mu_n(A^{(n)}).$$

Then, for each  $k \leq n$ ,  $\nu_k$  is represented by

$$\nu_k(A_1, \dots, A_k) = \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_k = n \\ 1 < i_1, \dots, i_k}} \mu_n(A_1^{(i_1)}(\times) \dots (\times) A_k^{(i_k)}).$$

Proof. We will prove this property by induction on k. For k = 1, this property is easily given by the fact that  $\nu_1(A_1) = \mu(A_1) = \mu_n(A^{(n)})$ . Assume the assertion

for  $k \leq k_0 - 1$ . Then, we have

$$\nu_{k_0}(A_1, \dots, A_{k_0}) = \mu(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{k_0}) - \sum_{j=1}^{k_0 - 1} \sum_{1 \le \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_j \le k_0} \nu_j(A_{\ell_1}, \dots, A_{\ell_j}) \\
= \mu_n((A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{k_0})^{(n)}) - \sum_{j=1}^{k_0 - 1} \sum_{1 \le \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_j \le k_0} \nu_j(A_{\ell_1}, \dots, A_{\ell_j}) \\
= \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_{k_0} = n} \mu_n(A_1^{(j_1)}(\times) \dots (\times) A_{k_0}^{(j_{k_0})}) \\
- \sum_{j=1}^{k_0 - 1} \sum_{1 \le \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_j \le k_0, \ i_1 + \dots + i_j = n} \mu_n(A_{\ell_1}^{(i_1)}(\times) \dots (\times) A_{\ell_j}^{(i_j)}) \\
= \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_{k_0} = n} \mu_n(A_{\ell_1}^{(j_1)}(\times) \dots (\times) A_{\ell_k}^{(j_{k_0})}) \\
- \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_{k_0} = n, \exists j, i_j = 0} \mu(A_{\ell_1}^{(i_1)}(\times) \dots (\times) A_{\ell_k}^{(i_{k_0})}) \\
= \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_{k_0} = n} \mu_n(A_1^{(j_1)}(\times) \dots (\times) A_{k_0}^{(j_{k_0})})$$

We obtain formula (1) by the induction hypothesis. This implies the assertion for  $k = k_0$  and concludes the proof.

**Theorem 1.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a constructive n-additive set function satisfies formulaic n-additivity.

Proof. We consider the case of

$$\mu(A) = \mu_n(A^{(n)}).$$

Using Proposition 2, for any disjoint sets  $A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1}, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have:

$$\nu_n(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, B_1 \cup B_2) = \mu_n(A_1(\times) \dots (\times) A_{n-1}(\times) B_1 \cup B_2)$$
  
=  $\mu_n(A_1(\times) \dots (\times) A_{n-1}(\times) B_1) + \mu_n(A_1(\times) \dots (\times) A_{n-1}(\times) B_2)$   
=  $\nu_n(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, B_1) + \nu_n(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, B_2).$ 

Then, the above formula follows the formulaic n-additivity of  $\mu$  using Proposition 1.

Generally,  $\mu$  can be represented by

$$\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k(A^{(k)})$$

using signed measures  $\mu_k$  on  $(X^{(k)}, \mathcal{B}^{(k)})$ . By the above arguments,  $A \mapsto \mu_k(A^{(k)})$  satisfies formulaic k-additivity. Then these are formulaic n-additive since  $k \leq n$  (Recall the remark after Proposition 1.)

# 4 k-additivity of distorted measure

A set function  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is a distorted measure if there is a probability measure m on  $(X,\mathcal{B})$  and non-decreasing continuous function f on  $\mathbb{R}$  with f(0) = 0 such that

$$\mu(A) = f(m(A))$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . The non-decreasing function f is called "a distortion function". A distorted measure is monotone measure, that is ,  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$  if  $A \subset B$ . If a distorted measure is formulaic k-additive measure, the distortion function must be a polynomial.

## **Proposition 3.** [6] (Theorem 17)

Let m be a probability measure on  $(X,\mathcal{B})$ . Let f be the distortion function of a distorted measure  $\mu(A) = f(m(A))$   $(A \in \mathcal{B})$ . We assume that, for any  $t, s \in \{m(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}\}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with m(A) = t, there exists  $B \subset A$  such that  $\mu(B) = s$  (this property is called "strong Darboux property"). Then,  $\mu$  is formulaic k-additive if and only if f is a k-degree polynomial.

In the case where the distortion function of a distorted measure  $\mu$  is a k-degree polynomial, then  $\mu$  is constructively k-additive. This property was essentially proven by R. Mesiar [4], and we explain this using our notations.

## Proposition 4. (R. Mesiar [4])

Let m be a positive finite  $\sigma$ -additive measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\mu$  be a distorted measure given by  $\mu(A) = f(m(A))$   $(A \in \mathcal{B})$  using a distortion function f. If f is a k-th degree polynomial, then  $\mu$  is constructively k-additive.

Proof. We only need to prove this proposition for  $f(x) = x^k$ . The product measure  $m^k$  (defined on  $(X^k, \mathcal{B}^k)$ ) can be easily reduced to the set space  $(X^{(k)}, \mathcal{B}^{(k)})$ , which concludes the proof.

Summing up the propositions in this section, we arrive at the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mu$  be a distorted measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\mu$  is constructively k-additive if and only if  $\mu$  is formulaic k-additive.

#### 5 k-additivity in a general case

We have proved that any constructively k-additive set functions are formulaic k-additive. In this section, we consider whether the reverse statement is true.

First, we consider the case where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a finite family. As we mainly deal with infinite measurable spaces, the hypothesis "all one-point sets are measurable" must be removed, and the definition of the n-th power set  $A^{(n)}$  should be modified. For an element x of X, let [x] denote the smallest measurable set including x. Then, the definition of  $A^{(n)}$  is modified by:

$$A^{(n)} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in A^n : \text{ if } j \neq j', \ x_{j'} \notin [x_j]\}.$$

Remark 1. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a finite  $\sigma$ -algebra. Then, there exists a family of atoms  $\mathbb{D} = \{D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_L\}$ , that is,  $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathcal{B}$  is a disjoint family satisfying  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathbb{D})$ .  $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$  can be expressed as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \sigma \left( \{ D_{i_1}(\times) \cdots (\times) D_{i_n} : 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_n \le n \} \right).$$

 $\{D_{i_1}(\times)\cdots(\times)D_{i_n}: 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_n \leq n\}$  is the family of all atoms in  $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ .

**Proposition 5.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be a measurable space with the finite  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . Assume that a set function  $\mu$  is formulaic k-additive. Then, for each  $j \leq k$ , we can construct a measure  $\mu_j$  on each set space  $(X^{(j)}, \mathcal{B}^{(j)})$  satisfying

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_j(A^{(j)}).$$

*Proof.* Because the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is a finite set family, there exists a finite partition  $\{D_j\}_{j=1}^n$  of X such that  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\{D_j\}_{j=1}^n)$ . Then, any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  can be represented by

$$A = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{L} D_{i_{\ell}}, \quad 1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{L} \le n.$$

Let  $j \leq k$  be a positive integer. Then,  $\mathcal{B}^{(j)}$  (the  $\sigma$ -algebra of the set space  $X^{(j)}$ ) can be represented as

$$\mathcal{B}^{(j)} = \sigma\left(\left\{D_{i_1}(\times)\cdots(\times)D_{i_j}: 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_j \le n\right\}\right),\,$$

and an element in  $\mathcal{B}^{(j)}$  can be represented by a finite union of some subset of  $\{D_{i_1}(\times)\cdots(\times)D_{i_j}: 1\leq i_1<\cdots< i_j\leq n\}.$ 

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\ell_i = i$  for each  $i \leq L$ . Then, the j-th power set is given by

$$A^{(j)} = \bigcup_{1 < \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_j < L} D_{\ell_1}(\mathsf{x}) \cdots (\mathsf{x}) D_{\ell_j}.$$

Let  $\{\nu_j\}$  be a Möbius transform of the set function  $\mu$ . Then,  $\nu_j = 0$  for any  $j \geq k + 1$ . We define a measure  $\mu_j$  on  $(X^{(j)}, \mathcal{B}^{(j)})$  by

$$\mu_i(D_{i_1}(\times)\cdots(\times)D_{i_i})=\nu_i(D_{i_1},\cdots,D_{i_i})$$

for each  $(i_1, ..., i_j)$   $(1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_j \le n)$ .

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{L} D_{\ell})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{j} \le L} \nu(D_{i_{1}}, \dots, D_{i_{j}})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{j} \le L} \mu_{j}(D_{i_{1}}(x) \cdots (x) D_{i_{j}})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_{j}(A^{(j)}).$$

Thus we have proved the proposition.

For further discussion, we will give some notations. Recall that  $\mathcal{D}_j$   $(j \in \mathbb{N})$  denotes the family of j-disjoint measurable sets. Let  $\overline{D}$  be an element of  $\mathcal{D}_j$   $(\overline{D} = \{D_1, \dots, D_j\} \in \mathcal{D}_j)$ . Set

$$\nu_j(\overline{D}) = \nu(D_1, \cdots, D_j),$$

and

$$(\times \overline{D}) = D_1(\times) \cdots (\times) D_j \subset X^{(j)}.$$

Now, we give the following definitions.

**Definition 4.** Let  $\mu$  be a set function on  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\{\nu_j\}$  be its Möbius transform. We define the j-th order total variation of  $\nu_j$  as follows.

$$\|\nu_j\| = \sup\{\sum_{\ell=1}^L |\nu(\overline{D_\ell})| : L \in \mathbb{N}, \overline{D_\ell} \in \mathcal{D}_j, \ell \le L, (\times \overline{D_\ell}) \cap (\times \overline{D_{\ell'}}) = \emptyset \text{ if } \ell = \ell'\}.$$

Then,  $\mu$  is said to have k-th order bounded variation if  $\|\nu_j\| < \infty$  for any  $j \leq k$ . Next, we define the fine continuity of  $\mu$  at  $\emptyset$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $\mu$  be a set function on  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\{\nu_j\}$  be its Möbius transform. Then, the j-th adjusting function  $\nu_j$  has fine continuity at  $\emptyset$  if, for any sequence  $\{\{\overline{D_i^{(\ell)}}\}_{i=1}^{N_\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{\infty}$  of the disjoint finite set family in  $\mathcal{D}_j$  satisfying

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\ell} (\times \overline{D_i^{(\ell)}}) \searrow \emptyset \quad as \quad \ell \to \infty,$$

 $\nu_i$  satisfies

$$\lim_{\ell \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\ell}} |\nu_j(\overline{D_i^{(\ell)}})| = 0.$$

Moreover,  $\mu$  is said to have k-order fine continuity at  $\emptyset$  iff  $\nu_j$  has fine continuity at  $\emptyset$  for  $j \leq k$ .

Using these concepts, we will show the constructive k-additivity of a formulaic k-additive set function. To prove the existence of the corresponding  $\sigma$ -additive measure, we use the following extension theorem. This is well known for a nonnegative measure (see [7] for example); however, using standard additional arguments, the statement is valid in the following form.

**Theorem 3.** [Caratheodory's extension theorem] Let A be an algebra on X and  $\mu$  be a finitely additive signed measure on (X, A). Assume that

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu(A_i)| : n \in \mathbb{N}, \{A_i\}_{i=1}^{n} \text{ is a disjoint family in } \mathcal{A} \right\} < \infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_n} \mu(A_i^{(n)}) \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty),$$

for an arbitrary sequence of the disjoint family  $\{\{A_i^{(n)}\}_{i=1}^{N_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, N_n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for which the union } \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_n} A_i^{(n)} \text{ decreases to an empty set. Then, there exists an extension } \widetilde{\mu} \text{ on } (X, \sigma(\mathcal{A})) \text{ satisfying } \widetilde{\mu}(A) = \mu(A) \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{A}.$ 

Using these concepts and the above extension theorem, we arrive at the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a countable algebra, and assume that  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ . Let  $\mu$  be a set function defined on  $\mathcal{B}$  and k be a positive integer satisfying the following properties.

- (a)  $\mu$  is a formulaic k-additive set function on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ .
- (b) μ has k-oder bounded variation.
- (c)  $\mu$  has k-oder fine continuity at  $\emptyset$ .
- (d)  $\mu$  is continuous from below and above.

Then,  $\mu$  is constructively k-additive on  $(X, \sigma(A))$ .

*Proof.* For a countable algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we can construct a sequence  $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of increasing finite algebras, which satisfies  $\mathcal{A}=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{A}_n$ . Then,  $\mathcal{A}^{(j)}=\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty\mathcal{A}_n^{(j)}$  for any  $j\leq k$ . Using Proposition 5, for each  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $\sigma$ -additive measures  $\mu_j^{(n)}$  on  $(X^{(j)},\mathcal{A}_n^{(j)})$   $(j\leq k)$  satisfying

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_j^{(n)}(A^{(j)}), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

Let us define an extension  $\widetilde{\mu_j^{(n)}}$  of  $\mu_j^{(n)}$  as follows.

$$\widetilde{\mu_j^{(n)}}(U) = \begin{cases} \mu_j^{(n)}(U) & \text{if } U \in \mathcal{A}_n^{(j)} \\ 0 & \text{if } U \notin \mathcal{A}_n^{(j)}, \quad U \in \mathcal{A}^{(j)}. \end{cases}$$

Then, for each  $j \leq k$  and  $U \in \mathcal{A}$ , the sequence  $\{\mu_j^{(n)}(U)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded by the assumption (b). In general, a bounded sequence has a convergent sub-sequence. Thus, by countably selecting sub-sequences many times, there exists a subsequence  $\mu_j^{(n_\ell)}$  such that  $\{\mu_j^{(n_\ell)}(U)\}_{\ell}$  converges for any  $U \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $j \leq k$ . Thus, we define a set function

$$\mu_j(U) = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \mu_j^{(n_\ell)}(U).$$

Any element  $U \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_n$  for a sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu_j^{(n)}$  is finitely additive on  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . Then, the limit  $\mu_j$  is also finitely additive on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Assumptions (b) and (c) imply that  $\mu_j$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3 and  $\mu_j$  can be extended on  $(X^{(j)}, \mathcal{B}^{(j)})$  as a  $\sigma$ -additive signed measure for  $j \leq k$ .

On a finite  $\sigma$  algebra constructive k-additivity is derived from formulaic k-additivity. Thus, constructive k-additivity is valid on  $\mathcal{A}$ , and using the continuity from above and below (condition (d)), this property can be extend to the minimal monotone class including  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is well known that this class is same with  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  (see [8] for example). Then, we obtain constructive k-additivity on  $(X, \sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ .  $\square$ 

## 6 Conclusion

In this study, we discussed the relation between constructive and formulaic k-additivity. A constructively k-additive set function is always formulaic k-additive. A distorted measure is constructively k-additive if and only if it is formulaic k-additive. We defined "k-order bounded variation" and "fine continuity at  $\emptyset$ " for a set function, and using these concepts, we gave a sufficient condition for constructive k-additivity for a formulaic k-additive measure.

Constructive k-additivity must be useful for further arguments. The existence of a  $\sigma$ -finite measure is important, for example, to construct an  $L_p$ -theory for functional analysis on non additive monotone measure spaces. There remain several problems for the advance of these concepts. To show the uniqueness of the  $\sigma$ -additive measure on the set spaces, to make the structure of  $\sigma$ -algebra of the set spaces clear, and other detailed problems. We have to try to solve these problems.

#### References

- Grabisch, M.: k-order additive discrete fuzzy measures and their representation. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 92, 167–189 (1997)
- 2. Combarro, E.F., Miranda, P.: On the structure of the k-additive fuzzy measures, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 161 (17), 2314–2327 (2010)
- 3. Kolesarova, A., Li, J., Mesiar, R., k-additive aggregation functions and their characterization, Eur. J. Oper. Res. 265 (2018) 985–992.
- 4. Mesiar, R.: Generalizations of k-order additive discrete fuzzy measures, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 102 423-428 (1999)

- 5. Fukuda, R., Honda, A., Okazaki, Y.: Constructive k-additive measure and decreasing convergence theorems. Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence **12256**, 104–106 (2020)
- 6. Honda, A., Fukuda, R., Okazaki, Y.: Non-discrete k-order additivity of a set function and distorted measure. Fuzzy sets and systems. to appear.
- 7. Tao, T.: An Introduction to Measure Theory, Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society (2011)
- 8. Neveu, J.: Mathematical foundations of the calculus of probability, Holden-Day series in probability and statistics. San Francisco, Holden-Day, (1965)